

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/750,592	12/28/2000	Bhupal Kanaiyalal Dharia	SPECT-01041US0 DEL 6761	
38284	7590 06/09/2004		EXAMINER	
RYDER IP LAW, PC			RAMPURIA, SHARAD K	
	DOUGLAS J. RYDER 3669 CONCORD ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DOYLESTOWN, PA 18901			2683	
			DATE MAILED: 06/09/2004	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/750,592	DHARIA ET AL.					
riavion, rioneir	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sharad Rampuria	2683					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 21 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of this application and the same of the s	cation. A proper re- ch places the appli	ply to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the status of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moteraned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriat e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:		•					
$3.\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment				
☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly.				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•					
• •							
10. Other:	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
							





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues that the dynamically select preferred ones of transceiver stations to provide particular dedicated channels for particular mobile station separately from one of said transceiver stations providing particular broadcast channels for particular mobile station does not disclosed in McLaughlin et al. However, McLaughlin et al. disclosed "A particular one of the zone managers ... is selected as the active one for the user... broadcasts on a unique forward broadcast channel". (fig. 5; Col.11; 62-Col.12; 3). as well as Howard et al. teaches the method of dynamic channel allocation from Tables 2 thru 5 (Col.30; 7-23) which also meets the claimed limitation. Because the above reason, the rejection still meets the claimed limitation. Therefore, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600